

Some Notes on the Majorants of Fourier Partial Sums in New Function Spaces

Vakhtang KOKILASHVILI*
Tsira TSANAVA**

Abstract

In this paper we present the boundedness criteria of majorants of partial sums of trigonometric and Walsh-Fourier series in weighted grand Lebesgue spaces.

Keywords: Grand Lebesgue space, Majorants, partial sums, norm convergence, trigonometric and Walsh-Fourier Series.

Introduction

Let $T = (-\pi, \pi)$ and $1 < p < \infty, \theta > 0$. The weighted grand Lebesgue space of 2π -periodic functions is defined by the norm

$$\|f\|_{L_w^{p),\theta}} = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^\theta}{2\pi} \int_T |f(x)|^{p-\varepsilon} w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}}$$

Here w is a weight function, i.e. an a.e. positive function which is integrable on T . When $w \equiv 1$, we set $L_w^{p),\theta} = L^{p),\theta}$

In the weighted case, grand Lebesgue spaces on the bounded sets of a Euclidean space were introduced by T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone [1] for $\theta = 1$, and by L. Greco, T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone [2] for $\theta > 1$. It is the well-established fact that these spaces are non-reflexive non-separable ones.

For the boundedness problems in $L_w^{p),\theta}$ for various integral operators we refer the readers to [3]-[6].

It is well known that the following continuous embeddings hold true:

$$L_w^p \rightarrow L_w^{p),\theta} \rightarrow L_w^{p-\varepsilon}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < p-1.$$

Let $f \in L^1(T)$ and

$$f(x) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k(f) e^{ikx} \quad (\text{eq.1})$$

be its Fourier series. By $S_n(f, x)$ we denote the partial sums of (1).

A weight function is said to be of the Muckenhoupt

class A_p ($1 < p < \infty$) if

$$\sup \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w^{1-p'}(x) dx \right)^{p-1} < \infty \quad (\text{eq.2})$$

where the supremum is taken over all intervals whose length is less than 2π .

Theorem 1: Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\theta > 0$. The following statements are equivalent:

i) There exists a positive constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sup_n |S_n(f, x)| \right\|_{L_w^{p),\theta}} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{L_w^{p),\theta}}$$

ii) $w \in A_p(T)$.

As has been mentioned above, the space $L^{p),\theta}$ is a non-separable one. The closure of L^p by the norm of $L^{p),\theta}$ does not coincide with the latter space.

We denote by $\dot{L}^{p),\theta}$ the closure of C^∞ with respect to the norm of $L^{p),\theta}$. As is known [7], $\dot{L}_w^{p),\theta}$ is a subspace of the space $L^{p),\theta}$ of functions satisfying

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\varepsilon^\theta \int_T |f(x)|^{p-\varepsilon} w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} = 0$$

Theorem 2: Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\theta > 0$. Let $w \in A_p(T)$.

Then for $f \in \dot{L}_w^{p),\theta}$

we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_n(f, \cdot) - f\|_{L_w^{p),\theta}} = 0.$$

*Vakhtang Kokilashvili is a Professor at the International Black Sea University kokil@rmi.acnet.ge

**Tsira Tsanava is an Academy Member of Georgian Technical University tsanava@rmi.ge

Proofs of Theorem 1 and 2:

The sufficiency part of Theorem 1 it follows from the following known results:

Theorem A [8]. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p(T)$.

Then the operator $f \mapsto S^*(f, x) = \sup_n |S_n(f, x)|$ is bounded in $L_w^p(T)$.

$$\text{Here } \|f\|_{L_w^p} = \left(\int_T |f(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{1/p}$$

Theorem B [5, 6]: Let some operator T be bounded in $L_w^p(T)$ ($1 < p < \infty$). Suppose also that T is bounded in $L_w^{p-\sigma}$ with the same weight w for some σ , $0 < \sigma < p-1$. Then T is bounded in $L^{p,\theta}$ for arbitrary θ , $0 < \theta < 1$.

Proposition C [9]:

Let $w \in A_p(T)$ ($1 < p < \infty$)

Then there exists some p_1 , $1 < p_1 < p$,

such that $w \in A_{p_1}(T)$.

Now we prove that in Theorem 1 i) \Rightarrow ii).

Let $I \subset (-\pi, \pi)$ such that $|I| \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$. Let $f \geq 0$.

Let and suppose $f \subset I$.

Let a natural number is such that

$$\frac{\pi}{4(n+1)} \leq |I| \leq \frac{\pi}{4n}$$

Estimating $S_n(f, x)$ for $x \in I$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(f, x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) D_n(x-t) dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_I f(t) D_n(x-t) dt = \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_I f(t) \frac{\sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)(x-t)}{\sin \frac{x-t}{2}} dt = cn \int_I f(t) dt \geq \frac{c_1}{|I|} \int_I f(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

Then from i) we derive the estimate

$$\left\| \chi_I(x) \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(t) dt \right\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}(T)} \leq c \|f\chi_I\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}(T)} \quad (3)$$

Rewrite the latter inequality in the following form:

$$\|\chi_I\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}(T)} \cdot \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(t) dy \leq c \|f\chi_I\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}(T)}$$

Now we start to estimate of the right side.

We have

$$\|f\chi_I\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}(T)} = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^\theta}{2\pi} \int_I |f(y)|^{p-\varepsilon} w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}}$$

Applying the Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\chi_I\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}(T)} &\leq \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^\theta}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \left(\int_I f^p(y) w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_I w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{p(p-\varepsilon)}} = \\ &= \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^\theta}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \left(\int_I w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \left(\int_I w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_I f^p(y) w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (3) follows

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(y) dy \| \chi_I \|_{L_w^{p,\theta}(T)} \leq c \| \chi_I \|_{L_w^{p,\theta}(T)} \left(\int_I w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_I f^p(y) w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(y) dy \leq c \left(\int_I w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_I f^p(y) w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

In the latter inequality substitute the test function

$f(y) = w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y) \chi_I(y)$. We get. We get

$$\left(\int_I w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y) dy \leq c_1 \left(\int_I w^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}(y) w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \left(\int_I w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_I w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y) dy \right) \leq c_1 \left(\int_I w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

with a constant c_1 independent of I .

We conclude that $w \in A_p(T)$.

The proof of Theorem 2 is standard (see [10], Chapter 7, Section 6) applying the following

Proposition 1: Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\theta > 0$. Then the conjugate function

$$\tilde{f}(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{f(x+t) - f(x-t)}{2tg \frac{t}{2}} dt$$

is bounded in $L_w^{p,\theta}$ if and only if $w \in A_p(T)$.

The sufficiency part of Proposition 3 follows from Theorem B and the well-known result of R. A. Hunt, B. Muckenhoupt and R. L. Wheeden [11] that operator \tilde{f} is bounded in L_w^p if and only if $w \in A_p$.

The necessity part of proposition 3 we can prove analogously as for the Hilbert transform [4]. Note that Theorems similar to the Theorems 1 and 2 are valid for Walsh-Fourier series as well. The Walsh-Fourier series $\sum_{k \geq 0} a_k W_k(x)$ is a dyadic analogue for the Fourier series.

The Walsh functions $W_0(x), W_1(x), \dots$ are supported in $[0,1)$ and can be defined:

$$W_0(x) = \chi_{(0,1)}, \text{ and for even and odd integers,}$$

$$W_{2n}(x) = W_n(2x)\chi_{(0, \frac{1}{2})}(x) + W_n(2x-1)\chi_{(\frac{1}{2}, 1)}(x), \quad n \geq 1$$

$$W_{2n+1}(x) = W_n(2x)\chi_{[\frac{0, \frac{1}{2})}(x) - W_n(2x-1)\chi_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1)}(x), \quad n \geq 0.$$

By A_p we define the class of weights by the condition (2) holds over all dyadic intervals.

For the functions from $L_w^p[0,1)$ it is known the following result

Theorem D [12]: For any $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p^d$, it holds that

$$\left\| \sup_n \left\| \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} a_k W_k(\cdot) \right\| \right\|_{L_w^p} \leq c \|f\|_{L_w^p}$$

with a constant independent of $f \in L_w^p$.

We have the following statements:

Theorem 5: For any $1 < p < \infty$ and $\theta > 0$, and $w \in A_p^d$ then the following two conditions are equivalent:

$$i) \left\| \sup_n \left\| \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} a_k W_k(\cdot) \right\| \right\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}} \leq c \|f\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}}$$

and

$$ii) w \in A_p^d.$$

Here by a_k are denoted the Walsh-Fourier coefficients of f .

Theorem 6: For any $1 < p < \infty$ and $\theta > 0$, and $w \in A_p^d$ and for arbitrary $f \in L_w^{p,\theta}$ we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| f - \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} a_k W_k \right\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}} = 0$$

References

C. Sbordone (1997), Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 25, 3-4: 739-756.
 Do, Y. & Lacey, M., Weighted bounds for maximal Walsh Fourier series. Preprint. Retrieved from: http://users.math.yale.edu/~yd82/weightedWalsh_Nov2011.pdf
 Fiorenza, A. , Gupta, B. , Jain, P. (2008), Studia Math., 188, 2: 123-133.
 Greco L., Iwaniec T., Sbordone, C. (1997), Manuscripta Math., 92, 2: 249-258.
 Hunt, R. A. & Young, W. S. Bull. Amer. Soc. 80(1974), 274-277.
 Hunt, R. A. , Muckenhoupt, B. and Wheeden, R. L. (1973) , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 176, 227-251.4
 Iwaniec, T. , Sbordone ,C. (1992), Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 119, 2: 129-143.
 Kokilashvili, V. , Meskhi, A. (2009), Georgian Math. J., 16, 3: 547-551.
 Kokilashvili, V. (2010), J. Math. Sci. (Springer, New-York), 170, 2.
 Kokilashvili, V. (2011), Singular integrals and strong fractional maximal functions in grand Lebesgue spaces. Nonlinear Analysis, Function Spaces and Applications, Vol. 9, 261-269, Proceedings of the International School held in Třešt', September 11-17, 2010, Ed. By J. Rakosnik, Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Praha, 2011.
 Muckenhoupt, B. (1972) Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 165, 207-226.
 Zygmund, A. Trigonometric Series. Vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, 1959.