

## Some Notes on the Majorants of Fourier Partial Sums in New Function Spaces

Vakhtang KOKILASHVILI\*  
Tsira TSANAVA\*\*

### Abstract

In this paper we present the boundedness criteria of majorants of partial sums of trigonometric and Walsh-Fourier series in weighted grand Lebesgue spaces.

**Keywords:** Grand Lebesgue space, Majorants, partial sums, norm convergence, trigonometric and Walsh-Fourier Series.

### Introduction

Let  $T = (-\pi, \pi)$  and  $1 < p < \infty, \theta > 0$ . The weighted grand Lebesgue space of  $2\pi$ -periodic functions is defined by the norm

$$\|f\|_{L_w^{p),\theta}} = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left( \frac{\varepsilon^\theta}{2\pi} \int_T |f(x)|^{p-\varepsilon} w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}}$$

Here  $w$  is a weight function, i.e. an a.e. positive function which is integrable on  $T$ . When  $w \equiv 1$ , we set  $L_w^{p),\theta} = L^{p),\theta}$

In the weighted case, grand Lebesgue spaces on the bounded sets of a Euclidean space were introduced by T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone [1] for  $\theta = 1$ , and by L. Greco, T. Iwaniec and C. Sbordone [2] for  $\theta > 1$ . It is the well-established fact that these spaces are non-reflexive non-separable ones.

For the boundedness problems in  $L_w^{p),\theta}$  for various integral operators we refer the readers to [3]-[6].

It is well known that the following continuous embeddings hold true:

$$L_w^p \rightarrow L_w^{p),\theta} \rightarrow L_w^{p-\varepsilon}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < p-1.$$

Let  $f \in L^1(T)$  and

$$f(x) \sim \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k(f) e^{ikx} \quad (\text{eq.1})$$

be its Fourier series. By  $S_n(f, x)$  we denote the partial sums of (1).

A weight function is said to be of the Muckenhoupt

class  $A_p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) if

$$\sup \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w(x) dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w^{1-p'}(x) dx \right)^{p-1} < \infty \quad (\text{eq.2})$$

where the supremum is taken over all intervals whose length is less than  $2\pi$ .

**Theorem 1:** Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\theta > 0$ . The following statements are equivalent:

i) There exists a positive constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that

$$\left\| \sup_n |S_n(f, x)| \right\|_{L_w^{p),\theta}} \leq c_1 \|f\|_{L_w^{p),\theta}}$$

ii)  $w \in A_p(T)$ .

As has been mentioned above, the space  $L^{p),\theta}$  is a non-separable one. The closure of  $L^p$  by the norm of  $L^{p),\theta}$  does not coincide with the latter space.

We denote by  $\dot{L}^{p),\theta}$  the closure of  $C^\infty$  with respect to the norm of  $L^{p),\theta}$ . As is known [7],  $\dot{L}_w^{p),\theta}$  is a subspace of the space  $L^{p),\theta}$  of functions satisfying

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \varepsilon^\theta \int_T |f(x)|^{p-\varepsilon} w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} = 0$$

**Theorem 2:** Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\theta > 0$ . Let  $w \in A_p(T)$ .

Then for  $f \in \dot{L}_w^{p),\theta}$

we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_n(f, \cdot) - f\|_{L_w^{p),\theta}} = 0.$$

\*Vakhtang Kokilashvili is a Professor at the International Black Sea University kokil@rmi.acnet.ge

\*\*Tsira Tsanava is an Academy Member of Georgian Technical University tsanava@rmi.ge

**Proofs of Theorem 1 and 2:**

The sufficiency part of Theorem 1 it follows from the following known results:

**Theorem A [8].** Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $w \in A_p(T)$ .

Then the operator  $f \mapsto S^*(f, x) = \sup_n |S_n(f, x)|$  is bounded in  $L_w^p(T)$ .

$$\text{Here } \|f\|_{L_w^p} = \left( \int_T |f(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{1/p}$$

**Theorem B [5, 6]:** Let some operator  $T$  be bounded in  $L_w^p(T)$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ). Suppose also that  $T$  is bounded in  $L_w^{p-\sigma}$  with the same weight  $w$  for some  $\sigma$ ,  $0 < \sigma < p-1$ . Then  $T$  is bounded in  $L^{p,\theta}$  for arbitrary  $\theta$ ,  $0 < \theta < 1$ .

**Proposition C [9]:**

Let  $w \in A_p(T)$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ )

Then there exists some  $p_1$ ,  $1 < p_1 < p$ ,

such that  $w \in A_{p_1}(T)$ .

Now we prove that in Theorem 1 i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii).

Let  $I \subset (-\pi, \pi)$  such that  $|I| \leq \frac{\pi}{4}$ . Let  $f \geq 0$ .

Let and suppose  $f \subset I$ .

Let a natural number is such that

$$\frac{\pi}{4(n+1)} \leq |I| \leq \frac{\pi}{4n}$$

Estimating  $S_n(f, x)$  for  $x \in I$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(f, x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) D_n(x-t) dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_I f(t) D_n(x-t) dt = \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_I f(t) \frac{\sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)(x-t)}{\sin \frac{x-t}{2}} dt = cn \int_I f(t) dt \geq \frac{c_1}{|I|} \int_I f(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

Then from i) we derive the estimate

$$\left\| \chi_I(x) \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(t) dt \right\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}(T)} \leq c \|f\chi_I\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}(T)} \quad (3)$$

Rewrite the latter inequality in the following form:

$$\|\chi_I\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}(T)} \cdot \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(t) dy \leq c \|f\chi_I\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}(T)}$$

Now we start to estimate of the right side.

We have

$$\|f\chi_I\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}(T)} = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left( \frac{\varepsilon^\theta}{2\pi} \int_I |f(y)|^{p-\varepsilon} w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}}$$

Applying the Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\chi_I\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}(T)} &\leq \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left( \frac{\varepsilon^\theta}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \left( \int_I f^p(y) w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_I w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{p(p-\varepsilon)}} = \\ &= \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < p-1} \left( \frac{\varepsilon^\theta}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \left( \int_I w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon}} \left( \int_I w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_I f^p(y) w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (3) follows

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(y) dy \|\chi_I\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}(T)} \leq c \|\chi_I\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}(T)} \left( \int_I w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_I f^p(y) w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(y) dy \leq c \left( \int_I w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_I f^p(y) w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

In the latter inequality substitute the test function

$f(y) = w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y) \chi_I(y)$ . We get. We get

$$\left( \int_I w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y) dy \leq c_1 \left( \int_I w^{-\frac{p}{p-1}}(y) w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \left( \int_I w(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_I w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y) dy \right) \leq c_1 \left( \int_I w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

with a constant  $c_1$  independent of  $I$ .

We conclude that  $w \in A_p(T)$ .

The proof of Theorem 2 is standard (see [10], Chapter 7, Section 6) applying the following

**Proposition 1:** Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\theta > 0$ . Then the conjugate function

$$\tilde{f}(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{f(x+t) - f(x-t)}{2tg \frac{t}{2}} dt$$

is bounded in  $L_w^{p,\theta}$  if and only if  $w \in A_p(T)$ .

The sufficiency part of Proposition 3 follows from Theorem B and the well-known result of R. A. Hunt, B. Muckenhoupt and R. L. Wheeden [11] that operator  $\tilde{f}$  is bounded in  $L_w^p$  if and only if  $w \in A_p$ .

The necessity part of proposition 3 we can prove analogously as for the Hilbert transform [4]. Note that Theorems similar to the Theorems 1 and 2 are valid for Walsh-Fourier series as well. The Walsh-Fourier series  $\sum_{k \geq 0} a_k W_k(x)$  is a dyadic analogue for the Fourier series.

The Walsh functions  $W_0(x), W_1(x), \dots$  are supported in  $[0,1)$  and can be defined:

$$W_0(x) = \chi_{(0,1)}, \text{ and for even and odd integers,}$$

$$W_{2n}(x) = W_n(2x)\chi_{(0, \frac{1}{2})}(x) + W_n(2x-1)\chi_{(\frac{1}{2}, 1)}(x), \quad n \geq 1$$

$$W_{2n+1}(x) = W_n(2x)\chi_{[\frac{0, \frac{1}{2})}(x) - W_n(2x-1)\chi_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1)}(x), \quad n \geq 0.$$

By  $A_p$  we define the class of weights by the condition (2) holds over all dyadic intervals.

For the functions from  $L_w^p[0,1)$  it is known the following result

**Theorem D [12]:** For any  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $w \in A_p^d$ , it holds that

$$\left\| \sup_n \left\| \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} a_k W_k(\cdot) \right\| \right\|_{L_w^p} \leq c \|f\|_{L_w^p}$$

with a constant independent of  $f \in L_w^p$ .

We have the following statements:

**Theorem 5:** For any  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\theta > 0$ , and  $w \in A_p^d$  then the following two conditions are equivalent:

$$i) \left\| \sup_n \left\| \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} a_k W_k(\cdot) \right\| \right\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}} \leq c \|f\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}}$$

and

$$ii) w \in A_p^d.$$

Here by  $a_k$  are denoted the Walsh-Fourier coefficients of  $f$ .

**Theorem 6:** For any  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\theta > 0$ , and  $w \in A_p^d$  and for arbitrary  $f \in L_w^{p,\theta}$  we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| f - \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} a_k W_k \right\|_{L_w^{p,\theta}} = 0$$

**References**

C. Sbordone (1997), Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 25, 3-4: 739-756.  
 Do, Y. & Lacey, M., Weighted bounds for maximal Walsh Fourier series. Preprint. Retrieved from: [http://users.math.yale.edu/~yd82/weightedWalsh\\_Nov2011.pdf](http://users.math.yale.edu/~yd82/weightedWalsh_Nov2011.pdf)  
 Fiorenza, A. , Gupta, B. , Jain, P. (2008), Studia Math., 188, 2: 123-133.  
 Greco L., Iwaniec T., Sbordone, C. (1997), Manuscripta Math., 92, 2: 249-258.  
 Hunt, R. A. & Young, W. S. Bull. Amer. Soc. 80(1974), 274-277.  
 Hunt, R. A. , Muckenhoupt, B. and Wheeden, R. L. (1973) ,Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 176, 227-251.4  
 Iwaniec, T. , Sbordone ,C. (1992), Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 119, 2: 129-143.  
 Kokilashvili, V. , Meskhi, A. (2009), Georgian Math. J., 16, 3: 547-551.  
 Kokilashvili, V. (2010), J. Math. Sci. (Springer, New-York), 170, 2.  
 Kokilashvili, V. (2011), Singular integrals and strong fractional maximal functions in grand Lebesgue spaces. Nonlinear Analysis, Function Spaces and Applications, Vol. 9, 261-269, Proceedings of the International School held in Třešt', September 11-17, 2010, Ed. By J. Rakosnik, Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Praha, 2011.  
 Muckenhoupt, B. (1972) Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 165, 207-226.  
 Zygmund, A. Trigonometric Series. Vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, 1959.