

Likelihood of Forming Copper-Enamel Separating Surface Through Roasting

Vladimer GORDELADZE* Archil SARUKHANISHVILI** Marina KAPANADZE*** Maia MSHVILDADZE**** Tamar LOLADZE****

Abstract

The article deals with the ascertaining the likelihood of the formation of separating surface in the process of enamel surface roasting. The assumption made is based on the evaluation of copper-enamel roasting thermodynamic process. Cohesion of these materials with B-O-Cu, Al-O-Cu, Ba-O-Cu bonds and the II group elements of the periodic system is the result of copper metallide creation.

Keywords: Enamel, Copper, Separating surface, Silicide, Thermodynamic measurement

Introduction

In the copper enameling process the reason of merging two different materials is the formation of oxygen bridges [1,2] between enamel and copper while roasting. The following schematic recording is applicable to visualize the process:



Figure 1. Bridge bonding between copper and enamel according to Ditsel.

As noted in the references, there is a likelihood of forming extended middle (transitional) layer on both sides of separating surface. However, bridge bonding creation is considered as the preferable option.

Creation of oxygen bridges implies oxidation of surface and surficial copper atoms that is thermodynamically possible [3]. Oxidation is proved by the fact that in neutral or reduction area enamel melt causes no copper wetting.

Not neglecting creation of copper oxides (mainly Cu20), we believe that the conception on enamel and copper oxygen bonding fails to completely resolve merging reason of these materials. This doubt is caused by the fact that it is hard to assume joint "sharing" of copper oxide oxygen with silicon or one of the silicon oxygen with copper.

Here we can bring two justifications. One is related to the data proved by the experiments that in Cu_2 -CuO- SiO_2 system creation of silicates is impossible [4]. The fact of oxygen bridge creation between Cu and Si contradicts to enamel micro heterogeneity structure, whereas polar and non-polar structural units are merged. Two options of oxygen bridge

*Prof .Dr. Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Georgian Technical University E-mail: vovagordeladze@mail.ru

**Prof .Dr. Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Georgian Technical University E-mail: sarukhanishviliarchil@rambler.ru

***Dr. Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Georgian Technical University E-mail: m.kapanadze@gtu.ge

****Prof. Dr. Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Georgian Technical University E-mail: maia_mshvildadze@gtu.ge

*****Assoc. Prof. Dr. Department of Metallurgy, Metals Science and Metal Processing, Georgian Technical University. Lecturer at the Faculty of Computer Technologies and Engineering, International Black Sea University E-mail: t.loladze@gtu.ge; tloladze@ibsu.edu.ge



Journal of Technical Science and Technologies; ISSN 2298-0032; Volume 4, Issue 1, 2015

creation exist in the above structure. According to the first option, copper atoms displace alkali, or alkali earth ion from polar grouping, or replace silicon atoms in non-polar grouping. Implementation of both options is practically impossible.

Based on the above discussion, the assumption is made that the cause of copper and enamel merging results due to various reasons. We believe that the cohesion between these materials is the result of separator development.

Methodology

For verifying our assumption the Gibbs energy minimization method was applied using Ulich first approximation - the calculation is made on the assumption that the specific heat of the reaction components is unknown.

Thermodynamic assessment of the possible interactions between the copper and enamel structural units were carried out at the surface roasting temperature.

Results

More than a hundred reactions occurred during the experiments. Part of them is presented below.

1. Reactions with silicates, borate, aluminate and manganite creation:

- I. 4. $Cu_2O + Al_2O_3 \cdot SiO_2 + 2(CaO \cdot B_2O_3) \rightarrow Cu_2O \cdot Al_2O_3 + CaO \cdot SiO_2 + CaO \cdot 2B_2O_3$
- I. 6. CuO + Al2O3 \cdot 2SiO2 + CaO \cdot B2O3 \rightarrow Cu2O \cdot Al2O3 + CaO \cdot B2O3 \cdot 2SiO2
- I. 7. $CuO + Al_2O_3 \rightarrow CuO \cdot Al_2O_3$
- I. 8. CuO + $B_2O_3 \rightarrow CuO \cdot B_2O_3$
- I. 9. CuO + 2(CaO \cdot B₂O₃ \cdot 2SiO₂) \rightarrow CuO \cdot B₂O₃ + CaO \cdot B₂O₃ + CaO \cdot SiO₂ + 3SiO₂
- I.10. 3CuO + $B_2O_3 \rightarrow 3CuO \cdot B_2O_3$
- I.11. $Cu_2O + B_2O_3 \rightarrow Cu2O \cdot B_2O_3$
- I.12. $3CuO + CaO \cdot 2B_2O_3 + 2SiO_2 \rightarrow$ $3CuO \cdot B_2O_3 + CaO \cdot B_2O_3 \cdot 2SiO_2$

- I.13. $3CuO + Na_2O \cdot B_2O_3 \cdot 2SiO_2 \rightarrow 3CuO \cdot B_2O_3 + Na_2O \cdot 2SiO_2$
- I.14. CuO + MnO + MnO₂ \rightarrow CuO \cdot Mn₂O₃(CuO \cdot MnO \cdot MnO₂)
- 2. Reactions resulting in formation of copper silicide and metallide:
 - II.1. $3Cu + K_2O \cdot 2SiO_2 \rightarrow Cu_2O + K_2O \cdot SiO_2 + 1/2SiO_2 + 1/2Cu_2Si$
 - II.2. $2Cu + K2O \cdot 2SiO_2 \rightarrow CuO + K_2O \cdot SiO_2 + 1/2SiO_2 + 1/2Cu_2Si$
 - II.3. $3Cu + Na_2O \cdot 2SiO_2 \rightarrow Cu_2O + Na_2O \cdot SiO_2 + 1/2SiO_2 + 1/2Cu_2Si$
 - II. 4. 2Cu + Na2O \cdot 2SiO2 \rightarrow CuO + Na2O \cdot SiO2 + 1/2SiO2 + 1/2Cu2Si
 - II.5. $6Cu + 2(MgO \cdot SiO_2) \rightarrow 2Cu_2O + 2MgO \cdot SiO_2 + Cu_2Si$
 - II.6. $6Cu + 2(CaO \cdot SiO_2) \rightarrow 2Cu_2O + 2CaO \cdot SiO_2 + Cu2Si$
 - II.7. 4Cu + 2MgO \cdot B₂O₃ \rightarrow Cu₂O \cdot B₂O₃ + CuO + Mg₂Cu
 - II.8. 4Cu + MgO \cdot B₂O₃ \rightarrow Cu₂O \cdot B₂O₃ + MgCu₂
 - II.9. 7Cu + CaO \cdot B₂O₃ \rightarrow Cu₂O \cdot B₂O₃ + CaCu₅
 - II.10. 6Cu + CaO \cdot B₂O₃ \rightarrow CuO \cdot B₂O₃ + CaCu₅

While developing the interactions, it was observed that practically every copper compound is thermodynamically undefined. To determine their $\Delta H^0_{f,298}$ and S^0_{298} , we applied the authors' proposed method [5]. The results are given in Table 1.

The first Group reaction thermodynamic assessment results are given in Table 2.

Table 3 presents $\Delta G^{0}_{\! T,R}\,$ and IgKp quantities at roasting temperature.

The results of thermodynamic measurements of the reactions, given in the tables above, prove that the majority of interactions are energetically profitable with the exception of reactions 5 and 11. Although thermodynamic measurement provides a less kinetic side, the possibility of reactions is clearly reflected.

Definition of IgK gave us additional information. It was

Table 1.	Thermodynamic	characteristics	of compounds
----------	---------------	-----------------	--------------

Mivturo	$-\Delta H_{f,298}^{0}$	S ⁰ 298
Wixture	kcal/mol	cal/K• mol
$Cu_2O \cdot Al_2O_3$	455,37	39,56
$\underline{CuO} \cdot Mn_2O_3$	265,50	39,05
$\underline{CuO} \cdot Al_2O_3$	439,92	24,13
$4\mathrm{CuO} \cdot Al_2O_3 \cdot B_2O_3$	909,07	76,00
$3CuO \cdot Al_2O_3$	530,12	50,17
$\underline{BaO} \cdot 2B_2O_3$	778,20	32,13
$2MgO \cdot B_2O_3$	643,27	27,13
$MnO \cdot B_2O_3$	427,34	30,87
$MgO \cdot B_2O_3$	483,17	21,62
$2CaO \cdot B_2O_3$	653,79	34,66
$2MnO \cdot B_2O_3$	532,88	45,71
$3\text{CaO} \cdot B_2O_3$	820,11	43,90
$3MgO \cdot B_2O_3$	801,24	33.36
$3MnO \cdot B_2O_3$	636,17	59,75
$Na_2O \cdot B_2O_3 \cdot 2SiO_2$	909,68	50,1
$Cu_2O \cdot B_2O_3$	397,55	39,44
$3 \text{CuO} \cdot B_2 O_3$	465,40	46,05

 Table 3. Thermodynamic characteristics of the reactions at 1123K

1		
N⁰	$\Delta G_{T,R}^0$ kcal/mol	lg K_p
I. 1	-65,01	12,65
I. 2	-58,07	11,31
I. 3	-43,18	8,40
I. 4	-15,00	2,92
I. 5	22,66	- 4,42
I. 6	-68,93	13,41
I. 7	-6,86	1,33
I.8	-43,18	8,40
I. 9	-36,17	7,04
I. 10	-60,32	11,74
I. 11	20,34	-3,96
I. 12	-52,50	10,22
I. 13	-37,87	7,37
I. 14	-16,67	3,24
I. 15	-24,74	4,81

 $\textbf{Table 2.} \ . \ \textit{Results of thermodynamic assessment of the reactions}$

	Thermodynamic measurement results		
№	$\Delta H_{f,298,R}^0$	S ⁰ _{298,R}	$\Delta G^0_{T,R} = f(T)$
	kcal/mol	cal/K• mol	kcal/mol
I.1	-57,38	6,79	-57,38-0,0068 T
I.2	-51,56	5,83	-51,56-0,0058 T
I.3	-40,15	2,68	-40,15-0,0027 T
I.4	-19,49	-4,02	-19,49+0,0040 T
I.5	15,36	-6,54	15,36+0,0065 T
I.6	-55,90	11,63	-55,90-0,0116 T
I.7	-4,84	1,77	-4,84-0,0018 T
I.8	-40,15	2,74	-40,15-0,0027 T
I.9	-17,87	16,3	-17,87-0,0163 T
I.10	-57,4	2,58	-57,4-0,0026 T
I.11	4,28	-14,34	4,28+0,0143 T
I.12	-51,04	1,28	-51,04-0,0013 T
I.13	-32,48	4,78	-32,48-0,0048 T
I.14	-14,54	1,91	-14,54-0,0019 T
I.15	-23,62	0,95	-23,62-0,0010 T

Table 4. Thermodynamic characteristics of the reactions

Nº	$\Delta G_{1123,R}^{0}$	$\Delta G_T^0 = f(T)$
	kcal/mol	kcal/mol
II. 1	42,03	43,94-0,0017 T
II. 2	43,72	46,64-0,0026 T
II. 3	42,43	42,32-0,0001 T
II. 4.	49,76	44,93+0,0043•T
II. 5.	75,07	83,47+0,0075•T
II 6	84,14	93,66+0,0085•T
II.7	186,96	201,45-0,029 · T
II.8	- 45,66	-35,31-0,0092 · T
II.9	64,24	76,26-0,0107 · T
II.10	- 20,31	8,10-0,0253·T
II.11	113,55	118,94-0,0048 · T



Journal of Technical Science and Technologies; ISSN 2298-0032; Volume 4, Issue 1, 2015

proved that two (4 and 14) of the above reactions are reversible at 1123 K; one (the 7th) is close to equilibrium, but the others have to be fully completed.

Thermodynamic results of II group reactions are given in Table 4.

The data given in the above tables indicate the tendencies characterizing II group reactions. The majority of the interactions with metallide creation are thermodynamically unprofitable. The exceptions are those reactions which result in obtaining CaCu, ZnCu, ZnCu3, Cd3Cu, BaCu3.

The reaction is given as an example:

4Cu + ZnO. B_2O_3 → CuO. B_2O_3 + ZnCu₃ $\Delta G_{_{1123}}K^0$ =-194,99 kcal/mol

Conclusion

Based on the experimental data it was defined that:

1. Creation of Si-O-Cu bonding in the copper-enamel interaction is less possible thermodynamically; If we emphasize bridge bonding, there is a higher possibility of forming B-O-Cu, Al-O-Cu, Ba-O-Cu bondings;

2. Thermodynamic declines silicide creation on the separator surface, confirming the possibility of copper metallide creation for R^{2+} II group elements of periodic system.

References

Bragina L., etc. 2003. Enamel technology and protective coatings. Kharkov, STU "KPI". p.483.

Petzold A., Peschmann H. 1986. Email und Emailliertechnik. VEB Deutscher Verlag fur Grundstoffindustrie , Leipzig. p. 572.

Appen A. A. 1976. Temperature resistant inorganic coatings. Leningrad, "Chemistry", p. 350.

Schultz M. M., Mazurin V. V. 1988. Modern ideas about the structure and the properties of glasses. L. Science, p. 199.

Sarukhanishvili A., Rachvelishvili N., Gordeladze V. 2011. Application of the method of additive system of structural ingredients for calculation of standard molar values of thermodynamic parameters for a number of borates // Georgian Chemical Journal. Vol. 11, №3, pp. 308-311.